PATTERNS OF POWER · THE ALBANIAN CASE

The Siege He Built Himself

How Europe's Thinnest State and Deepest Nation Formed a Merchant's Son Three Times Over; How a Doctrine With an Excuse for Everything Carried Him Through Three Broken Patrons and Forty Years of Unbent Rule; and Why the Question of Whether He Believed a Word of It Cannot Be Answered — and Does Not Need to Be — 1443–2026

Thomas J. Bryan

with Claude (Anthropic)

Patterns of Power · 2026

PREFACE

❧

This volume is the Albanian case study of the Patterns of Power collection. The propagation study, *The Error They Carried Home*, traced a single doctrinal inheritance from a Paris daydream through Lenin's apparatus to the students who carried it home, and placed Enver Hoxha on that conduit between Ho Chi Minh and the Cambodians; *The Paris Apparatus* anatomised the machine that formed him. The present volume opens his chapter into a full structural history, because the case holds two things the collection cannot do without. Albania under Hoxha is the purest study of foreclosure in the record — every channel closed, for four and a half decades, in a population small enough to watch whole — and it is the natural home of the question every previous volume has deferred: when a man holds absolute power in the name of a doctrine that has a reason for everything he does, how do we tell whether he believes it, and what would turn on the answer?

The title states the thesis. The siege — the encirclement doctrine, the autarky written into constitutional law, the hundred and seventy thousand bunkers — was real in its materials and self-built in its necessity: a fortress poured by a man whose doctrine guaranteed the invasion, against an invasion that never came, around a population that finally fled it by sea. Whether its keeper was the doctrine's last believer or its most durable user is the question of Part VIII, and the volume's finding is that the question is undecidable by design — the doctrine was engineered so that fidelity and self-service sign the same orders — and that the verdict the evidence does support falls on the chair, not the heart.

The volume also completes an experiment the Cambodian study began. Democratic Kampuchea and socialist Albania are the two ends of one demonstration: the same inheritance, refused all bends — landing in one case on the metabolism and killing a quarter of a nation in four years, landing in the other on the border and caging a nation for forty. Read together, the two cases price the unbent doctrine from both directions, and this volume keeps the comparison in view throughout.

A note on gravity. The numbers here are smaller than the collection's giants, and Part IX answers directly the objection that smallness raises. They were not small to the six thousand shot, the tens of thousands imprisoned and interned, or the generations the files condemned before they could speak. The double ledger is kept honestly in both columns — this regime's credit column is the fullest in the collection, and the volume does not blush at it — and nothing is written for effect.

A NOTE ON THIS COLLABORATION

❧

This book was produced in collaboration between its author and Claude, an AI model made by Anthropic. The division of labour has been consistent across the collection and is stated here as plainly as the method demands. The framework — position logic, the two dials of formation and accountability, the metabolic trap, foreclosure, the tested and the revealed — is the author's, developed across the foundational volumes; the structural readings in this volume apply it, including the account of layered formation and the undecidability argument of Part VIII, which were developed to the author's specification for this case. The historical reconstruction, the research synthesis, the prose, and the production of the volume are Claude's work, conducted under the author's direction and to the collection's standards.

Those standards bear restating for a case whose subject wrote his own history in seventy volumes. Every date, figure, and quotation here was checked against the scholarly record during drafting, and the load-bearing facts — the repression statistics, the purge chronology, the atheist-state decrees, the closing-era record — were verified against current sources at the time of writing. The official autobiography's embellishments are treated as data about the cult, not about the life. Where the record is genuinely unresolved (a prime minister's bullet, an informer network's true density), the volume says so and carries both readings rather than the convenient one. Quotation is held to a deliberate minimum; the few sentences quoted are those whose administrative temperature no paraphrase preserves.

The reader should also know what this collaboration is not. The structural arguments here are models — instruments for seeing, offered as tested and defeasible, not as revealed truth; the volume turns its own central distinction on itself in its final part. An AI's assistance changes the speed and reach of such work; it does not change the obligation, which rests where it always has: on the argument's fit with the record, which any reader can check.

THE ARGUMENT IN NINE PARTS

❧

Part I — The Land of the Eagles (1443–1939). The landscape before the man: the hero five centuries dead who became the nation's loaded memory; the Ottoman centuries that left three faiths sitting lightly over a deep formation of family, clan, and nation — the poet's line that the religion of Albanians is Albanianism; the Kanun's stateless order in the north and the beys' estates in the south; and the state of 1912, halved at birth, foreclosed by king and chieftain, offering its first educated generation no channel at all.

Part II — The Three Formations (1908–1936). The merchant's son of Gjirokastër and the three worlds installed in him in sequence: the hearth's — religion light, nation heavy, delivered by an uncle who signed the independence; the French lycée's, which converted the first world into a position that could be judged; and the Paris apparatus's — a complete system with an explanation for everything, including its bearer's failures. The failed scholarship that left him available; and the theory of the layers: which formations dissolve, which re-clothe, and why the adult-installed doctrine held to the deathbed.

Part III — The Party the Neighbours Built (1936–1944). The occupation that closed the respectable track and left the nationalist groove unclaimed; the party founded in November 1941 under two Yugoslav emissaries, who chose for its face a presentable man without a faction; the national war and the civil war inside it; and Mukje, where the party bought its patron's favour with Kosovo itself — the betrayal installed at the foundation, whose burial became a permanent function of the regime.

Part IV — The First Break and the School of Stalin (1944–1956). The satellite of a satellite, scheduled for absorption until Stalin's quarrel with Tito saved the first secretary's position and probably his life; the first cycle of the pattern — break, purge the patron's men, tighten; the school of Stalin and the security state; the Corfu mines, the impounded gold, and the betrayed Western landings that made the siege story true enough; and the secret speech refused — the one channel cracked open from inside, welded shut at the Tirana conference of 1956.

Part V — The Second Break and the Purest Heir (1956–1968). Khrushchev's courtship and the rupture of 1960–61 — a state of a million and a half defying the centre of its own world movement; China's distant subsidy for purity; the war on the coat: the world's first atheist state, two thousand houses of worship closed, the rival installers abolished and a legal monopoly on the forming of minds established for a generation — while the regime fed the deep layer it never attacked, the nation; and 1968, when hero, doctrine, and siege fused in a single anniversary.

Part VI — The Fortress Economy and the Double Ledger (1944–1985). The fullest credit column in the collection — literacy, malaria, electrification, the doubled span of life — priced as what it also was: the formation programme; the debit column — collectivisation to the last animal, the constitution that banned borrowing, the last patron dismissed on principle, the ration book, the Block, and the bunkers; and the finding that anchors the comparison: the fortress did not starve because, alone among the unbent regimes, it never tore out the metabolism.

Part VII — The Machine of Vigilance (1944–1985). The file before the child: the biografi as kin liability made caste, the formation dial administered as heredity; the camps, the prison-mines, and the counted dead; the purge litany the regime itself recited — each broken patron paid for with the lieutenants who had served him; and the last meal: the prime minister of twenty-seven years dead in the night, declared a six-fold foreign agent — the revealed doctrine's tell, in laboratory isolation.

Part VIII — The Undecidable Question (1944–1985). Believer or manipulator: both briefs at full strength — the orthodoxy paid for thrice over against the survival every doctrinal act secured; why the doctrine's own architecture makes the two hypotheses produce identical conduct; the motives plural and co-resident, the commitment trap closing from below, the formation operating inside the actor; and the verdict the method permits: on the chair, which is reproducible, not the heart, which is not.

Part IX — The Collapse and What Came Back (1985–2026). The fortress dissolving without a battle — the embassy walls, the ships, the statue; the pyramid schemes as the formation void the cage left behind; what returned through the reopened doors and what did not — the war on the coat substantially won, and the project's central proposition refined, not broken; the chieftain, contingency, and scale objections held and answered; and the dials read on a nation queueing, for the first time, at a plural door.

A NOTE ON SOURCES

❧

This volume rests on the scholarly record of socialist Albania and its aftermath, and the principal debts are recorded here in the collection's manner — by argument rather than apparatus.

The reconstruction of the life and the regime draws above all on Blendi Fevziu's *Enver Hoxha: The Iron Fist of Albania*, the first critical biography built on the opened archives, whose treatment of the official autobiography's embellishments this volume adopts; on Bernd J. Fischer's *Albania at War, 1939–1945* for the occupation, the party's founding, and the two wars; and on Miranda Vickers's *The Albanians: A Modern History*, with Vickers and Pettifer's *Albania: From Anarchy to a Balkan Identity* for the transition. Elez Biberaj's *Albania: A Socialist Maverick*, Peter Prifti's *Socialist Albania Since 1944*, and Owen Pearson's three-volume chronology supply the institutional and diplomatic record; Jon Halliday's edition of the memoirs, *The Artful Albanian*, and his investigation of the Shehu affair inform Parts VII and VIII; the era's texture owes much to the published recollections of survivors of Spaç and the internments, and to Ismail Kadare, whose fiction is used as testimony of atmosphere, never of fact.

The repression statistics follow the Albanian Institute for the Study of the Crimes and Consequences of Communism and the Institute for the Integration of the Formerly Persecuted, whose counts of the executed, imprisoned, and interned are cited with their ranges; the atheist-state campaign follows the documentary record of the 1967 decrees and the 1976 constitution, read directly. The bunker tallies, the economic data, and the demography are used in the ranges the scholarship supports, with legend and record distinguished in the text. The closing sections on the transition, the pyramid collapse, the religious settlement, and the European accession rest on the contemporary record and were verified at the time of writing.

Within the collection, this volume stands on *The Machine That Runs Itself* (the framework), *The Error They Carried Home* (the propagation study whose Albanian chapter it expands), *The Paris Apparatus* (the formation machine of Part II), *The Doctrine That Would Not Bend* (the Cambodian case that supplies the comparison run throughout), *The Belief They Could Not Kill* (the formation-survival proposition tested in Part IX), *The Foreclosed Republic* (the pressure mechanism), and the second inquiry, *The Order Above the Orders* (the two violences and the loaded words). The errors that survive this apparatus are the production's own.

PART I

The Land of the Eagles

How five centuries of Ottoman rule left the Albanians with the deepest nation and the thinnest state in Europe — a people whose three faiths sat lightly over a formation of family, clan, and honour, and whose poets said so out loud; how a hero five hundred years dead became the loaded memory every later ruler would reach for; and how the new state of 1912, halved at birth and foreclosed by king and chieftain alike, offered its first educated generation no channel at all — 1443–1939

I. Why the Landscape Comes First

Every volume in this collection begins with the ground rather than the man, and the method bears restating once for the reader who arrives here first. The framework calls its instrument position logic: a person acts on the costs and benefits visible from their own position, where what counts as a benefit is set by their formation — the values and the picture of the world installed in them, mostly in childhood, mostly by others — and what counts as a cost is set by the accounting they actually face. Two consequences follow that this volume will lean on harder than any before it. First, a formation is received before it can be judged: the child is not argued into a world-picture but handed a world, and what is installed first is experienced not as an opinion but as the way things are — which is why every durable hierarchy in this collection has fought for first access to the child, and why the regime this volume studies fought for it more openly than any other. Second, formations are not fixed. They are revised by two solvents the volume will watch at work: contradiction, when the life a person actually lives stops fitting the story the formation tells; and collision, when the formed person meets another formation, carried by other people, organised and confident — a party, a church, a school — that offers a rival account of the same world. The subject of this volume was formed three times, by three different installers, and the order in which the layers went down — and which of them held to the end — is half the book.

The subject is Enver Hoxha, who ruled Albania from the end of 1944 to his death in April 1985 — forty years, the longest unbroken personal rule in this collection — and who built there the most completely foreclosed order in modern European history: a one-party state without one functioning counterweight, the world's first constitutionally atheist nation, an economy forbidden by its own constitution to borrow abroad, a country ringed with a hundred and seventy thousand concrete bunkers against an invasion that never came. The propagation study in this collection, which traced a single doctrinal inheritance from a Paris daydream through Lenin and Mao to the students who carried it home, gave Hoxha his place on the conduit; the present volume opens the case in full, because it holds two things the collection needs. It is the purest study of foreclosure in the record — every channel closed, for four and a half decades, in a population small enough to watch whole. And it is the natural home of a question the previous volumes could defer and this one cannot: when a man holds absolute power in the name of a doctrine that has a reason for everything he does, how do we tell whether he believes it — and what, exactly, would turn on the answer? The volume will spend eight parts earning the right to ask that question properly, and one part answering it.

❧

II. The Hero and the Memory

The landscape's oldest material is a memory, and the volume marks it first because every ruler in this story — king, bishop, and communist alike — would reach for it. In 1443 an Ottoman hostage-general of Albanian birth, George Kastrioti, called Skanderbeg, deserted the Sultan's army, raised the double-headed eagle over the fortress of Krujë, bound the fractious northern chiefs into a league, and for twenty-five years — against the army that had taken Constantinople — held the Albanian mountains for Christendom and for himself. He died undefeated in 1468; the resistance collapsed within a decade of his death; and the Ottomans ruled the Albanian lands for the next four and a half centuries. What remained was the proof — the Albanian Angkor: the demonstration, fixed at the very threshold of the long subjugation, that the Albanians united under a single will had once stopped the greatest power on earth. The memory was kept by the bards, revived by the nineteenth-century national awakening, and inherited by every regime of the twentieth century, each of which set Skanderbeg's eagle on its flag and his name on its squares; the reader should hold the date 1968 for Part V, when a communist state would celebrate the five hundredth anniversary of the hero's death in the same year it walked out of the Warsaw Pact, fusing the doctrine and the memory in a single gesture. A loaded memory, as the project uses the term, is a legitimating story so universal within its nation that it outruns every particular owner — and Skanderbeg, who belonged to the chiefs, then to the king, then to the bishops, would belong in the end to a man who abolished God.

❧

III. The Faiths That Sat Lightly

What the Ottoman centuries built beneath the memory is the formation this volume must measure exactly, because its proportions decided the country's whole modern history. Ottoman rule converted the majority of Albanians to Islam — by the twentieth century roughly seven in ten were Muslim, with an Orthodox Christian south and a Catholic north — and it converted them, by the standards of the region, lightly. Conversion had been substantially fiscal and practical, a matter of the head-tax and the career ladder; crypto-Christianity and double observance persisted for generations; and through the Muslim majority ran a deep current of Bektashism — the Sufi order, heterodox, syncretic, wine-tolerant and clergy-light, whose world headquarters would eventually settle in Albania and whose lodges, the teqes, were as much houses of national feeling as of doctrine. The result was a religious landscape unique in Europe: three faiths, none coterminous with the nation, all crossed by the same language, the same clans, and the same customary law — so that religion, which everywhere else in the Balkans was the very marrow of national identity, in Albania sat above the deeper formation rather than constituting it. The national awakening said so explicitly, in the line the volume quotes because the whole case turns on it: the poet Pashko Vasa, rallying Albanians across the confessional lines in the 1880s, wrote that the religion of Albanians is Albanianism. The reader should fix the sentence now. It is the precise inverse of the Cambodian and Polish and Russian cases, where faith and nation were fused; it names a landscape in which the deep layer of formation — the layer installed first, at the hearth — was family, clan, honour, and nation, with confession worn over it like a coat; and it would be taken up, eighty years later, as the warrant for the most absolute war on religion any state has ever declared. When that war comes, in Part V, the volume will ask the question the framework demands: what happens when a regime attacks the coat and feeds the body? The answer is the Albanian difference, and it is still visible in the country today.

Beneath the faiths, the deep formation itself, in two registers. In the northern highlands — Gheg country, never fully administered by any state including the Ottoman — order was kept not by government but by the Kanun, the unwritten customary code attributed to the mediaeval lord Lekë Dukagjini: a complete jurisprudence of household, marriage, hospitality, and honour, administered by clan elders, enforced by the institution of the blood feud, and anchored on the besa, the given word, an oath whose breach annihilated a man's standing more surely than any court. It was, in the project's terms, a self-coordinating order of formation almost without a floor of state force — proof, the volume notes, that the framework's managed belief can carry extraordinary load when it is installed

deeply enough — and it made the highlands ungovernable by anyone who had not mastered its grammar. In the southern lowlands — Tosk country, Enver Hoxha's country — the Ottoman order had planted great landlords, the beys, over a share-cropping peasantry, with market towns, Orthodox merchants, and a long tradition of labour emigration, the kurbet, that sent the south's sons to Istanbul, Egypt, and America and brought back remittances and ideas. The reader should mark the structural rhyme with every prior volume: a peasantry with real grievances against landlord and tax-farmer; a thin commercial class doing the coordinating work and earning the resentment; and a customary formation so strong that the state, when it finally arrived, would find the population already governed by something older — something it would have to harness or destroy.

❧

IV. The State That Arrived Halved

The Albanian state was born late, small, and broken, and the volume must count the wounds because the man it studies inherited every one. The national awakening organised itself in 1878 in the League of Prizren — formed, with the era's perfect irony, to defend Albanian-inhabited lands from partition among the new Christian Balkan states — and the independence finally declared at Vlorë in November 1912, in the chaos of the Ottoman collapse, was immediately cut in half: the powers' ambassadors, drawing the borders in 1913, left roughly as many Albanians outside the new state as inside it, above all in Kosovo, awarded to Serbia. The amputation became the Albanian national wound as partition was Poland's and the unequal treaties were China's — a grievance installed in every Albanian child thereafter as plain fact, and a standing pry-bar for any politics that promised redress. Hoxha's own family, the volume will show, was formed in the independence struggle itself; and the Kosovo question would run through his story at its three great hinges — a party founded by Yugoslavs, a wartime bargain repudiated over Kosovo, and a patron-break in 1948 that let him take the wound back up.

What followed independence was a quarter-century demonstration that the new state had no formation of its own to govern with. Occupied and partitioned through the First World War, reassembled in 1920, the state passed through a brief and genuine opening — the volume records it carefully, because it is the one channel the landscape ever offered: in 1924 a reforming government under Fan Noli, a Harvard-educated Orthodox bishop, took power promising land reform, schools, and constitutional life, and lasted six months. It fell in December 1924 to Ahmed Zogu, a northern chieftain with Yugoslav backing, who made himself president, then in 1928 king — Zog I — and ruled by the only grammar the landscape supplied: clan alliance, subsidy, gendarmerie, and the careful murder of rivals. Zog's kingdom did some real state-building and mortgaged all of it to Italy, whose loans, advisers, and oil concessions bought the country piece by piece until April 1939, when Mussolini foreclosed on the whole: the Italian army landed, Zog fled with the treasury, and Albania was annexed to the Italian crown. The part closes on the structural balance the framework requires. A nation with the deepest customary formation in Europe and a state with almost none of its own; a literate class of a few thousand in a population overwhelmingly illiterate — schooling so thin that the future dictator's generation could be counted school by school; every channel foreclosed — Noli's six months the only ballot that ever mattered, the press licensed, the clans armed; two live wounds, the halving of 1913 and the sale to Italy; and over it all the eagle of Skanderbeg, waiting for a claimant. Into this landscape, in October 1908, in a stone town in the far south, the subject of this volume was born.

PART II

The Three Formations

How a cloth merchant's son from Gjirokastër received his first world from a Bektashi blessing, an absent father, and a nationalist uncle who had signed the independence; how a French lycée installed a second world over the first, and a failed scholarship in Montpellier left him holding the second world's grievances without its credentials; how the French Communist Party supplied the third — a complete system with an explanation for everything, including him; and what the case teaches about which layers of a formation can be overwritten, and which cannot — 1908–1936

I. The First World: Gjirokastër

Enver Hoxha was born on 16 October 1908 in Gjirokastër, a fortress town of stone roofs stacked on a mountainside in the Tosk far south — a town of merchants, clerics, and emigrants that would, by an irony the volume merely records, also give Albania its greatest modern writer, Ismail Kadare, who grew up on the same streets a generation later and spent his life describing what the boy from those streets built. The household installed the first world, and its three installers must each be named, because each left a layer the rest of the story will test. The father, Halil Hoxha, was a cloth merchant and a Muslim of the Bektashi attachment, and he was mostly absent — a kurbet man, working long stretches abroad, including in America; the family's piety was real but of the Bektashi temper, devotional rather than doctrinal, and the one religious scene the record preserves is exact in its lightness: the father taking the boy to the local teqe to receive the blessing of the baba before his departure for France. The name itself was a politics: the boy was named for Enver Pasha, the Young Turk hero of the 1908 revolution that had stirred the whole Ottoman south with the promise of constitutional renewal — a reforming, modernising, faintly secular name, pinned on him in his first week. And the formative male presence, by every account including the regime's own, was not the absent father but the uncle: Hysen Hoxha, a town notable of the national movement, founder of the region's first Albanian-language school, chairman of the local renaissance committee in the year of Enver's birth, and in November 1912 a delegate from Gjirokastër to the assembly at Vlorë that declared independence. The first world, then, as the framework reads it: religion present but light, worn in the Bektashi way as blessing rather than law; the nation present and heavy — installed by a man who had signed its birth certificate, in a town that within Enver's childhood was occupied, contested, and claimed by Greece, so that the nation arrived not as an idea but as a daily question of whether one's town would remain one's own; and the merchant's household itself, comfortable, literate, ambitious — the boy was schooled from the start for the climbing track. The volume states the layer's content plainly, because it is the layer that never moved: beneath everything Enver Hoxha later became was a southern townsman's nationalism, received before he could weigh it, as the way the world simply was.

❧

II. The Second World: The Lycée at Korçë

The second installer was France, and it reached him through the one genuinely modern institution the old landscape contained. After the local schools and a season of student politics — at sixteen he helped found a

Gjirokastër students' society that agitated against Zogu's new regime and was closed for it — Hoxha won a place and then a state scholarship at the National Lyceum of Korçë, the French-language school in the south-eastern market town, where the curriculum was the French republic's own: French language, French literature, French history — which is to say, as the France volume of this collection has shown in detail, the Revolution taught as the founding act of the modern world — and the sciences, under teachers of decidedly liberal inclination. The reader of the previous volume will recognise the machine: this is the second formation, the colonial-and-peripheral lycée that takes the provincial boy and installs over his first world a new one — secular, universal, progressive, French — and in doing so performs the operation the framework regards as the most consequential a school can perform: it converts the first world from the way things are into a position — something that can be seen from outside, compared, and judged. At Korçë, by his own later account, he read the Communist Manifesto for the first time; what the volume can document with more confidence is what the lycée did structurally: it made him a member of the country's microscopic French-educated elite — the cohort of a few hundred on whom the state's entire modern future would have to be built — and it gave him the second world's expectations. In 1930, on a royal scholarship, he sailed for the University of Montpellier to study natural science: the climbing track's summit, the door out of the stone town, the family's investment redeemed.

He failed. The record is unusually blunt: across his years at Montpellier he neglected the course, by the harshest accounts never sitting an examination, and the scholarship was withdrawn. The volume pauses here, as it paused at the same point in the Cambodian and Russian studies, because the pattern is now too consistent in this collection to be incidental: Stalin the expelled seminarian, Saloth Sar thrice-failed in Paris, and now Hoxha, stripped of his stipend in Montpellier — the doctrine's most absolute practitioners drawn again and again from the stratum the framework's second inquiry taught the project to watch: the elite aspirant manufactured by the credential system and then rejected by it, left holding the second formation's ambitions and grievances without its certificates, in a homeland with no positions for him anyway. Such a man is not yet anything; he is available. He drifted to Paris, attended what he attended at the Sorbonne, and later claimed — through an official autobiography so embellished that his critical biographers treat its every unverifiable ornament with suspicion — to have written for the French Communist Party's newspaper against the Zog regime under a pen name. The volume handles the claim as the method requires: the articles matter less than the orbit. What is established is that in Paris and then in Brussels — where from 1934 to 1936 he held, with perfect irony, a small patronage post as secretary at the royal Albanian consulate while nominally reading law — Hoxha moved in the world of the Parti communiste français, the apparatus the institutional study of this collection has anatomised at length: the largest, most disciplined, most unconditionally Stalinist mass party in the West, in the very years of its Popular Front ascent, with its fronts and its journals and its standing welcome for aggrieved young men from the periphery.

❧

III. The Third World, and the Theory of the Layers

What the apparatus installed, the previous volumes have described doctrine by doctrine, and this part needs only to state what the doctrine was for him — because here, in the third formation, the volume's special question is born. Marxism-Leninism arrived in Hoxha's generation not as a hypothesis but as a proven science with a victorious state behind it; it arrived wrapped, for a French-formed mind, in the prestige of 1793; and it arrived, crucially, as a complete system — a doctrine with an explanation for everything: for the halving of his nation (imperialism), for the beys and the moneylenders of his south (class), for the sale of the state to Italy (comprador treason), for the failure of bishops and kings (the dustbin of history), and — the volume asks the reader to sit with this — for him: for the failed scholar himself, whom the doctrine recast not as a man who had wasted a scholarship but as a déclassé intellectual radicalised by the contradictions of a comprador monarchy, his lost credentials a badge of the system's corruption rather than his own. A doctrine that explains its bearer's failures as the world's crimes is, to a man in Hoxha's 1934 position, not merely persuasive; it is structurally irresistible. And it carried one further property that everything in Parts IV through VIII will turn on, so the volume names it now and will name it again:

a system with an explanation for everything has an excuse for anything. The same categories that explained the past would later be available to justify each act of power — every rival a class enemy, every failure a sabotage, every retreat a tactic, every cruelty a vigilance — so that the doctrine, once installed at the apex of a state, would make its bearer's sincerity and his cynicism produce identical conduct. The reader should pocket that sentence; it is the volume's destination.

Here the part delivers the theory the case was chosen to carry, because Hoxha's three layers now sit complete and the framework can read them. Formations are revised, the volume said at the outset, by contradiction and by collision — and the record of this one life shows both solvents at work and shows their limits. The first world's religious layer, installed lightly in the Bektashi register, was dissolved almost without residue: the lycée's contradiction (a universe that ran on laws) and the party's collision (a confident counter-church) met a layer that had never borne much load in Albanian formation anyway — Vasa's line again — and it went quietly; there is no evidence the loss ever cost him a night's sleep. The first world's national layer, installed heavily by the uncle, the town, and the border war outside the window, was never dissolved at all: it was reclothed — the doctrine gave the nation a new vocabulary (anti-imperialism, the people) and a new enemy list, but the thing itself, the southern townsman's Albania, persisted under every doctrinal coat he ever wore, and Parts IV, V, and IX will find it intact at each hinge of his rule. And the third world, the doctrine, installed in adulthood — the layer the framework expects to sit loosest, since it arrived after the critical years — held to his deathbed; and the reason, the volume argues, is the one the framework predicts: an adult-installed formation persists when the bearer's position continuously pays it. From 1941 to 1985, every year of Hoxha's life rewarded the doctrine that had adopted him in 1934; it was never once in his interest to doubt it; and a belief that is never billed is never tested. The child's layer persists because it arrived as the world; the adult's layer persists because the world keeps paying it. Between them they would govern Albania for forty years. In 1936 the consulate secretary went home — to a teaching post at his old lycée in Korçë, a salary, a respectable obscurity — and the volume notes what the record shows: nothing in him yet marked him for what followed. The landscape would do the marking.

PART III

The Party the Neighbours Built

How the Italian occupation dissolved the old order and made the schoolteacher available; how Albania's quarrelling communist grouplets were hammered into a single party in November 1941 by two Yugoslav emissaries who chose, for its public face, a presentable man without a faction; how the national war and the civil war inside it were won — and how, at Mukje in 1943, the party purchased its patron's favour with the national wound itself, installing at the foundation of Hoxha's power a betrayal he would spend the rest of his life burying — 1936–1944

I. The Teacher and the Tobacconist

The five years between Hoxha's homecoming and his party's founding are the least adorned of his life, and the volume reads their plainness as evidence. He taught French at the Korçë lycée — the second world's installer, now his employer — moved in the town's small communist circle, and was, by the accounts that survive outside his own mythology, an agreeable, well-dressed, unremarkable figure: a man of the café and the conversation, carrying the third formation quietly inside a respectable position. The Italian invasion of April 1939 ended the position. The new order required teachers to join the occupation's fascist organisation; Hoxha did not, was dismissed, and made his way to Tirana, where he kept — the detail every biography preserves — a tobacco shop called Flora, which served the capital's communists as a meeting point. The volume marks what the dismissal did structurally, because it is position logic at its cleanest: the occupation, by closing the respectable track, manufactured its own enemies from the educated unemployed — the same operation Sihanouk's foreclosure performed in the Cambodian volume — and it handed the tiny doctrine-bearing cohort something Albania had never given them: a national cause with the old claimants gone. The king had fled with the treasury; the beys and notables were collaborating or paralysed; the bishops and hodjas had flocks, not armies. For the first time in Albanian history, the nationalist groove — the deep layer, the Skanderbeg memory, the wound of 1913 — lay unclaimed, and the only organised claimants in the field would be men formed in Paris and Moscow.

❧

II. The Midwives

But the claimants could not organise themselves, and the manner in which they were organised is the foundation of everything after. Albanian communism in 1941 was three or four mutually loathing grouplets — Korçë, Shkodër, the youth — without a recognised party among them, for the Comintern had never certified one. The certification came from next door. In the autumn of 1941, with the German invasion of the Soviet Union activating every communist in Europe, the Yugoslav party — already building Tito's partisan war — sent two emissaries into Albania, Miladin Popović and Dušan Mugoša, and it was under their supervision, in a Tirana house on 8 November 1941, that the quarrelling grouplets were fused into the Communist Party of Albania. The volume states the structural fact without ornament: the party that would rule Albania for half a century was founded by, and as an extension of, the party of the neighbouring state — the state that held Kosovo. And the emissaries' choice for the

new party's leading figure fell on the tobacconist. Why Hoxha is a question the biographies answer convergently and the framework finds almost overdetermined: he was presentable and educated where the faction chiefs were sectarian and scarred; he was a southern Tosk acceptable to the movement's southern base; he belonged strongly to no grouplet, so each could prefer him to its rivals; and he was, by every contemporary assessment, manageable — a man without an apparatus of his own, who would owe his eminence entirely to the patrons who conferred it. The volume asks the reader to hold the irony with both hands, because the whole case lives in it: the most unbending ruler in this collection was selected for pliability; the most ferociously independent regime in post-war Europe was founded as a subsidiary; and the man who would purge every colleague ever suspected of serving a foreign patron was himself, at the origin, the foreign patron's compromise candidate. He was confirmed as the party's first secretary at its first national conference in March 1943, at thirty-four — and from that chair, across the next forty-two years, he never moved.

❧

III. The Two Wars

The war that carried him to power was two wars wearing one, and the volume must keep the seam visible. The national war was real: the party built, from 1942, a National Liberation Movement open to all resisters, and its partisan brigades — organised on the Yugoslav model, stiffened by the Yugoslav emissaries, commanded in the field above all by Mehmet Shehu, a professionally trained soldier back from the Spanish war whose name the reader must now fix beside Hoxha's for the next four decades — fought the Italians and then, after Italy's 1943 collapse, the Germans, through reprisals and burned villages, with a seriousness the British liaison officers attached to them documented even when they detested the politics. The civil war ran inside it. The communists' national rival was the Balli Kombëtar, the republican-nationalist front of the southern notables and the educated anti-Zogists — men of the same towns and often the same families — and for one moment, at the village of Mukje in August 1943, the two movements' delegates actually struck a unity pact: a common front, a joint committee, and a commitment to fight for an Albania that would include, by plebiscite, Kosovo. The pact lasted days. The Yugoslav emissaries demanded its repudiation — a party built by the party that held Kosovo could not be permitted to promise Kosovo's recovery — and Hoxha's central committee complied, denounced the agreement, and turned its guns on the Ballists as collaborators; the Balli, in the logic of such spirals, drifted into actual collaboration with the occupier against the communists, confirming the accusation retroactively; and the resistance became a civil war that the better-organised, better-patronised, more ruthless side won. The volume sets down the structural reading the rest of the book requires. At Mukje, the party purchased its patron's favour with the national wound itself — the deepest layer of every Albanian's formation, including its own leader's — and every man in the leadership knew it. The knowledge was a debt, and the debt's management became a permanent feature of the regime: the louder the later regime's nationalism, the deeper the buried bill it was servicing; and when, in 1948, the chance came to repudiate the patron and take the wound back up, Hoxha would seize it with an alacrity that the sincerity-or-cynicism question of Part VIII must weigh — for it was, at one and the same time, the doctrinally correct move, the nationally cathartic move, and the move that saved his personal position. The reader will find that triple coincidence again and again. It is the signature of the case.

❧

IV. The Arrival

The end came fast. The German withdrawal from the Balkans in the autumn of 1944 left the partisans — by then a real army of tens of thousands — masters of the field; Tirana fell at the end of November 1944 after a pitched battle; and Albania entered the new Europe with a distinction it shared only with Yugoslavia and which the regime would spend forty years converting into legitimacy: its communists had taken their country themselves, without the Red Army, in a genuine national war. The volume credits the fact fully, because the method requires it and

because it matters structurally — a liberation formation, installed in a generation of young partisans and renewed by schooling ever after, was the regime's deepest domestic asset, the Albanian equivalent of the Chinese volume's long-march legitimacy. And the volume immediately enters the other column, because the method requires that too. Power arrived already armed with lists. Within weeks, special tribunals — conducted under the supervision of the interior apparatus and its rising chief, Koçi Xoxe, a pro-Yugoslav tinsmith whose name opens the purge table of Part VII — were trying the old order wholesale: ministers, deputies, notables, Ballists, and the merely prominent, as war criminals and enemies of the people, with executions by the hundreds and confiscations without count. The old elite of the thin little state — the few thousand educated men the landscape had taken eighty years to produce — was broken in a winter: shot, imprisoned, or driven into the exile from which the British and Americans would shortly try, catastrophically, to retrieve them. By the spring of 1945 the Communist Party of Albania, four years old, built by foreigners, leading a country of perhaps 1.1 million people, mostly illiterate, mostly peasant, armed mostly with what it had captured, held the most complete monopoly of force and position in Europe. What it did not hold was independence: the patron stood in the doorway. The next part is the story of the three patrons, and of the discovery — made first from necessity and then made into a doctrine — that the patron, too, is an enemy waiting to be named.

PART IV

The First Break and the School of Stalin

How the satellite of a satellite was scheduled for absorption into Yugoslavia, and how Stalin's quarrel with Tito saved Hoxha's position, his doctrine, and very likely his life in a single week of 1948; how the first purge — the patron's man, tried and shot — set the pattern every later break would repeat; how a clumsy Western secret war confirmed the siege story the doctrine required; and how, when Moscow itself went soft on Stalin, the pattern fired again — 1944–1956

I. The Satellite of a Satellite

The new state's first four years were lived inside Yugoslavia's project, and the volume must establish how nearly Albania ceased to exist, because the escape is the hinge of Hoxha's whole career. The treaties of 1946 bound the two economies into one; Yugoslav advisers sat inside the Albanian ministries; a customs and currency union was drafted; joint companies took the minerals and the railways; and in Belgrade the absorption of Albania as a seventh republic of the Yugoslav federation was discussed as a matter of sequence rather than principle — Stalin himself, by Yugoslav account, told Belgrade it should swallow Albania when the moment served. Inside the Albanian party the patron had a party: the interior minister Koçi Xoxe, master of the security apparatus and the tribunals, pressed the Yugoslav line and pressed against the party's French-formed intellectuals — above all against Hoxha, who by 1947–48 was isolated in his own politburo, stripped of real control, and, by the convergent testimony of the era, within reach of the fate he would later deal so freely to others. The first secretary survived on the calendar's mercy: in June 1948 the quarrel between Stalin and Tito broke into the open, the Cominform expelled Yugoslavia, and every communist in Europe was required overnight to choose. Hoxha chose in hours. Albania denounced its treaties, expelled the advisers, embraced Moscow — and the volume marks the triple coincidence for the second time: the doctrinally correct move (Stalin was orthodoxy itself), the nationally cathartic move (the absorption was cancelled, the Mukje debt repudiated with it), and the move that saved his personal position, all in the same gesture. Within the year the pattern that would govern Albania for four decades ran its first full cycle: the patron's man was named the enemy. Koçi Xoxe — the regime's own terror-master, author of its first tribunals — was tried in secret as a Titoist agent and hanged in June 1949, his apparatus purged behind him; and the men who had trembled before the interior ministry learned the durable lesson that the interior ministry, too, could be fed to the doctrine. Break, purge, tighten: the volume will count this cycle three times, and the reader now holds its template.

❧

II. The School of Stalin

The Soviet decade that followed was the regime's formative one, and Hoxha lived it as discipleship. He met Stalin five times between 1947 and 1951 — audiences he would record, decades later, in a devotional memoir published when its subject had long been safely deniable everywhere else on earth, a datum Part VIII will weigh — and what he took from the school of Stalin was the complete kit this collection has catalogued in its Russian and Chinese volumes: the five-year plan and the priority of heavy industry in a country of shepherds; collectivisation, begun

against the southern estates and pressed, across two decades, up into the highlands; the security state perfected — the Sigurimi, built now on Soviet rather than Yugoslav lines; the cult, the parades, the renamed city (a Stalin City duly appeared on the Albanian map); and the information order, sealed and total. The era also supplied, from outside, the regime's most precious asset: confirmation. In October 1946, in the Corfu Channel, two British destroyers struck mines in Albanian waters with forty-four dead; the International Court ordered Albania to pay, Albania refused, and Britain impounded Albania's monetary gold — and held it for half a century, into the 1990s: a standing, daily, perfectly real grievance against the West, renewable each year the gold sat in London. And from 1949 to 1953 the British and American services ran against Albania the Cold War's first armed rollback — émigré agents trained and landed by boat and parachute to raise the tribes against the regime — and ran it betrayed from the start: the Soviet agent Kim Philby sat inside the operation's planning, the landings were received on the beaches, and the infiltrators were killed, captured, and paraded through show trials by the score. The volume pauses on what this did structurally, because it is a mechanism the whole collection has met and this case displays in its purest form: the doctrine said the regime was besieged by imperialist conspiracy, and the imperialists, with impeccable timing, conspired. Every formed Albanian could now be shown the proof; every purge could borrow its plausibility; the siege story — which Hoxha would eventually pour in concrete — was never, after 1953, entirely a lie. The framework names the trap precisely: a revealed doctrine requires enemies, and enemies, once required, are usually supplied; the tragedy of the clumsy Western secret war is that it volunteered.

❧

III. The First Heresy of the Patron

Stalin died in March 1953, and the volume can date from that month the conversion of Hoxha's Stalinism from an alignment into an identity. The new Moscow's course — collective leadership, reconciliation with Tito, and then, in February 1956, Khrushchev's secret speech demolishing Stalin before the world movement — arrived in Tirana as a triple threat that the framework can read off the dials without residue. Doctrinally, de-Stalinisation was heresy: the corrections of Part V's Soviet patron were, to the formation Hoxha carried, the revisionism the texts had warned of. Nationally, the rehabilitation of Tito was terror: a Moscow that embraced Belgrade was a Moscow that might once again discuss Albania as a seventh republic. And personally, the new course was indictment: Hoxha's regime was a small, exact replica of the thing Khrushchev had just denounced — the cult, the terror, the tribunals — and every de-Stalinising party in Europe was retiring its little Stalins that very season. The three threats pointed one way, and the volume holds the reader once more before the triple coincidence: to defend the doctrine, to defend the nation, and to defend the man were, again, the same act. The act came in April 1956, when the Tirana city party conference — the one moment in the regime's history when the apparatus itself tried to open a channel — heard delegates rise, in the secret speech's slipstream, to question the leadership, the economy, and the cult. Hoxha crushed it in person within days: the conference was annulled, the speakers were expelled, imprisoned, or broken, and through the year the lesson was driven home in blood — among the executed were Dali Ndreu, a general of the liberation war, and Liri Gega, once of the party's founding leadership and, by the account Khrushchev himself raised in protest, pregnant when she was shot. The volume closes the part on the dial it has been reading. The one channel cracked open from inside was welded shut, and the welding was the precise Albanian analogue of the Chinese volume's Lushan: the moment after which no information could move up and no correction could move down — with this difference, which is the whole difference of the case: Mao's regime bent, afterward, under the weight of its own famine; Hoxha's regime had arranged, and would go on arranging, never to be weighed. The patron itself had gone heretic, and the pattern's second cycle was loading.

PART V

The Second Break and the Purest Heir

How Khrushchev's courtship — the visit, the submarines, the wheat — turned to rupture in eighteen months, and a state of one and a half million people defied the Soviet Union in open session and got away with it; how China's distant patronage paid for purity at a safe remove; how the regime then turned the doctrine on the formation itself, abolishing God in the only country in history to write atheism into its constitution — and why it attacked the coat and fed the body; and how 1968 fused the hero, the doctrine, and the siege into a single year — 1956–1968

I. The Courtship and the Rupture

The Soviet decade ended in a sequence the volume can give almost as choreography, because every step is documented and every step obeys the dials. Khrushchev came courting in 1959 — the visit, the aid, and above all the base: the submarine flotilla installed in the Bay of Vlorë, Moscow's one foothold on the Mediterranean, which made little Albania, for a moment, strategically precious. The courtship required only that Tirana accept the new line — coexistence abroad, de-Stalinisation within, reconciliation with Belgrade — and acceptance, as Part IV established, was the one thing Hoxha's triple position could not afford. Through 1960 the breach widened along the same seam as China's — and the volume notes the structural symmetry that made the two heresies natural allies: Mao and Hoxha each led a regime whose legitimacy was a national liberation and whose method was the Stalin kit, and each read de-Stalinisation correctly as the de-legitimation of himself. At the Bucharest and Moscow gatherings of 1960 the Albanian delegation did the unthinkable, siding openly with Beijing; in November 1960, before eighty-one parties in Moscow, Hoxha delivered the attack on Khrushchev in person and walked out; and the consequences arrived on schedule: Soviet aid stopped, the credits were cancelled, the advisers left, the embassies emptied, and in 1961 the submarines sailed out of Vlorë — minus the boats Albanian crews contrived to keep — while inside the party the cycle's second purge removed the patron's friends, this time the politburo member Liri Belishova and the veteran Koço Tashko, who had pressed for accommodation with Moscow and were destroyed for it. The regime wore the rupture, then and ever after, as its proudest scar; the era's defiance was distilled in the reported vow that Albanians would live on grass before they would betray their principles for Soviet wheat. The volume enters both readings in the ledger, as the method requires: the defiance was real — a state of a million and a half had faced down the centre of its own world movement, an act without parallel in the bloc — and the defiance was paid for, not by the men who chose it, but by the population whose wheat, credits, and machinery vanished with the patron, and whose standard of living the choice mortgaged for a generation. Purity's price was billed downward. It always would be.

China took up the subsidy, and the volume marks why the third patronage was the most comfortable of the three: it was far away. Beijing paid — credits, factories, technicians, wheat — and asked, at four thousand miles, almost nothing day to day that Tirana was not delighted to give: doctrinal solidarity, a European megaphone, and a vote. The vote deserves its sentence: it was Albania's resolution, steered through the General Assembly in October 1971, that finally seated the People's Republic of China at the United Nations and expelled Taipei — the one moment the smallest and most sealed state in Europe moved the hinge of world order, and a datum for the second

inquiry's account of how thoroughly the era's order-talk ran through patron and client. For Hoxha the Chinese decade was the regime's noon: subsidised purity, distant patron, domestic monopoly entire.

❧

II. The War on the Coat

What the regime did with its noon is the part of the case the whole collection has been waiting for, and the volume slows down accordingly. In 1966 and 1967, in open emulation of the Cultural Revolution then convulsing its patron — but with a control Beijing's chaos never had: Albania's revolution was administered, not unleashed — the regime launched what it called its own revolutionisation: ranks abolished in the army, cadres rotated to manual labour, the remaining private plots squeezed, women mobilised against the Kanun's grip in the highlands. And at its centre, in the spring of 1967, the act without precedent or successor: the war on religion was carried to formal completion. Youth brigades — schooled, organised, and pointed by the party, in a campaign the leader's own instruction described as needing to be cautious, but ruthless — swept the country closing the houses of every faith; by the year's end some 2,169 mosques, churches, monasteries, and teqes had been shut, demolished, or converted to gymnasiums and granaries; a November decree annulled the legal charters of every religious community — the Sunni mufti's office, the Orthodox archbishopric, the Catholic dioceses, the Bektashi world headquarters, all ceased to exist in law; the clergy were defrocked, imprisoned, or shot, down to the parish level and down the years — a Catholic priest was executed as late as the early 1970s for baptising an infant in secret; religious names were struck from the registry of permissible names for the newborn; and Albania declared itself, as the constitution of 1976 would codify, the first atheist state in the world — Article 37: the state recognises no religion; Article 55: no organisation of a religious character may exist. The ban held for twenty-three years.

The volume now does what the framework exists to do, because the surface reading — a Maoist spasm, a madman abolishing God — explains nothing about why this regime alone went the whole way, or why the country it left behind looks the way it does. Begin with what the campaign was structurally: a war between installers. The framework opened this volume with the observation that a hierarchy's deepest advantage is first access to the child — and the churches, the mosques, and the teqes were, in every village, the rival installer: the institution that reached the child at the hearth and the grave, that conferred names and meanings the party did not control, and that maintained — especially the Catholic north, the regime's most defiant formation — loyalties older and deeper than the state. The atheist state was the rival installer abolished: from 1967 the party held, for the first time in any country in history, a legal monopoly on formation itself — the school, the pioneers, the youth league, the army, the press, with no licensed alternative from the cradle to the grave, for a generation. And then the deeper layer of the structural reading, which Vasa's line has been waiting since Part I to supply: the regime attacked the coat and fed the body. In Cambodia and Russia and Poland, religion was the deep formation — fused with nation, installed first — and the project's standing finding is that such a formation survives the destruction of its institutions, living on in the formed until the doors reopen. In Albania, the deep formation was the nation, with confession worn over it; the regime destroyed the coat — and simultaneously fed the body as no Albanian state ever had: schooling the nation's language into universal literacy, canonising its hero (the quincentenary of Skanderbeg's death was celebrated in 1968 with a colossal statue in the capital's central square — the year, the volume notes, the regime also left the Warsaw Pact, fusing hero, doctrine, and siege in one anniversary), excavating its Illyrian antiquity, and teaching every child that Albanianism — the poet's own loaded word, now state scripture with the poet's God deleted — was the meaning the faiths had merely costumed. Whether the operation worked — whether a deep formation can be re-clothed by a state that holds the children for a generation — is a question with an empirical answer, and the volume will read it, in Part IX, off the most secular society in Europe.

❧

III. What the Noon Established

The part closes with the regime at its meridian, and the inventory is worth a plain paragraph because no other state in the collection ever assembled it. By 1968 the Party of Labour of Albania held: the state, without coalition or fiction; the economy, collectivised to the last lowland hectare and climbing into the hills; the formation, without a licensed rival on Albanian soil; the nation's memory, fused to the regime's defiance; the siege story, confirmed by real mines, real gold in London, real parachuted agents, and now two real ruptures; and a distant patron paying for all of it. Every channel of the framework's coda — court, press, party, association, market, temple — was not merely controlled but abolished; the foreclosure was complete in a sense Russia's and China's never quite were, for those regimes governed continents of unavoidable variety, and this one governed a valley-and-mountain country of two million with an apparatus scaled to watch it whole. The volume states the structural position and lets it stand: Enver Hoxha, the pliable compromise of 1941, now held the most total position any individual occupies in this entire collection. What a man does with a total position — and what a total position does with the man, and whether those two sentences describe different things at all — is the business of the next three parts.

PART VI

The Fortress Economy and the Double Ledger

How the regime drained the marshes, schooled the illiterate, wired the villages, and tripled the population's span of life — the fullest credit column in this collection — and how the same hands wrote a constitution that forbade the country to borrow, broke with the last patron on principle, and poured a hundred and seventy thousand concrete mushrooms over the fields the peasants no longer owned; and why the fortress economy could refuse every bend for forty years without a famine: because, alone among the unbent regimes, it never tore out the metabolism — 1944–1985

I. The Credit Column

The method of this collection requires a double ledger for every regime, honestly kept, and the volume opens the Albanian ledger on the credit side deliberately, because this case has the fullest such column in the whole series and the analysis is worthless if it is embarrassed by the fact. The Albania of 1945 was the poorest country in Europe by every measure that existed: four-fifths and more of its people illiterate, malaria endemic across its lowlands, life expectancy under forty, an infant mortality among the continent's worst, no railway, and a population of perhaps 1.1 million governed, where it was governed at all, by code and clan. The regime that held it for the next four decades drained the malarial marshes and, with international assistance in the early years, effectively eradicated the disease; it schooled the population to near-universal literacy — from single digits to above ninety per cent — in its own language, for the first time in the nation's history; it built the railway with volunteer youth brigades whose veterans, into their old age and against everything they later learned of the regime, would remember the building as the proudest season of their lives — a datum about formation the volume records without irony; it wired the country, completing the electrification of every village by the autumn of 1970, a genuine feat in Europe's poorest landscape; it moved women, by decree and campaign, out of the Kanun's jurisdiction and into schools, professions, and the public world; and across its tenure the population nearly tripled and the span of life rose from under forty years toward seventy and beyond. These entries are real. The villagers who lived them weighed them, and weigh them still; no honest account of the regime's forty-year survival can leave them out, for they were its daily argument, renewed in every clinic and classroom: the regime delivered, to the bottom of society, goods the old landscape had never delivered to anyone. The framework adds only its standard observation, which does not shrink the entries but prices them: every one of these goods was also an instrument — the school that taught the letters chose the books; the clinic and the ration came from the single hand that could withhold them; the wire that lit the village carried the single voice. The credit column was the formation programme, and the formation programme was the credit column. Both statements are true, and the volume declines to pick between them.

❧

II. The Debit Column

The same hands kept the other column, and the volume now counts it. Collectivisation, completed in the lowlands by the 1960s and declared total in 1967, took the land, then the markets, then — in a final squeeze pressed even as the regime aged — the household's own animals, until the private economy of the Albanian village was reduced to a courtyard's vegetables; the producer faced the single buyer with no price, no exit, and no voice, and agriculture, the country's livelihood, settled into the low-yield stagnation every collectivised case in this collection records. Industrialisation was bought from the patrons — Soviet kits, then Chinese, the steel complex at Elbasan rising as the showcase — and froze at the patrons' departure into an ageing museum of orphaned technologies. The 1976 constitution then did something no other state has done: it forbade the economy to be rescued — Article 28 prohibited the acceptance of foreign credits and loans outright, autarky written not as policy but as fundamental law, the bend that saved every other regime in this collection banned by the constitution itself. And in 1978 the last patron was dismissed on principle: China's post-Mao turn — the rehabilitations, the openings, the Three Worlds doctrine — was, to Tirana, the third revisionism, denounced in a book-length polemic from Hoxha's own pen; Beijing cut off aid and technicians in the summer of 1978; and Albania entered, alone, the condition its doctrine had always claimed to want: total self-reliance, encircled, pure. The shelves answered. The last Hoxha decade was the queue and the ration book, meat and coffee as events, the lights browning out beneath the hydro-dams the brigades had built — not famine, the volume marks the distinction now and will explain it in Part VIII, but a long, grinding, administered scarcity, shared by all except the few hundred families of the leadership quarter in Tirana, the Block, where the villas kept French books, foreign films, and Western medicine behind guarded streets. The volume places the Block in the ledger without commentary; Part VIII will need it.

And over the whole landscape, the column poured in concrete. From the mid-1970s — the defence minister who had argued for a conventional army was by then shot, and Part VII will give the purge its place — the regime committed itself to the doctrine of total people's war: every citizen a soldier, every hilltop a position, and the country studded, between 1975 and 1983, with reinforced-concrete pillbox bunkers in the hundreds of thousands — the documented count runs past 170,000, one official tally giving 173,371, with popular legend swelling the number toward three-quarters of a million — at a cost in cement, steel, and labour that competing accounts put level with the unbuilt housing and unmade roads of a generation. They were never used. They squat in the fields and on the beaches to this day, the most legible ruin in this entire collection: the siege story — born in Mukje's debt, confirmed by Corfu mines and Philby's parachutists, renewed by three ruptures — cast at last in a form the rain cannot argue with. The volume offers the bunkers to the reader as Part VIII's exhibit-in-chief, and asks only that the question be held, not yet answered: poured by a believer arming his people against the invasion his doctrine guaranteed, or by a manipulator burying his population's surplus in fear itself — and how, exactly, would the concrete look different?

❧

III. Why the Fortress Did Not Starve

The part closes on the analytic finding that makes this volume more than a smaller rerun of its predecessors, and the reader who has come from the Cambodian study will already have felt the question forming. Democratic Kampuchea refused every bend and shattered in forty-five months with a quarter of its people dead. Hoxha's Albania refused every external bend on offer — refused de-Stalinisation, refused coexistence, refused the Chinese opening, refused credit by constitutional article — and lasted forty years without a famine. If the unbent doctrine kills, why did this one merely impoverish? The framework's answer is exact, and it is the deepest lesson the Albanian case teaches. The metabolic trap, the foundational study's first proposition, is sprung by tearing out the coordinating tissue — the cities, the money, the markets, the administration, the craft — and Hoxha, for all his purity, never tore it out. Money circulated; wages were paid; the towns stood and grew; the ministries functioned; the engineers, such as the little country had, were schooled, employed, and — outside the purge seasons — kept;

the ration was thin but administered, by a state that never stopped administering. His refusals were all refusals of the external bend — the patron's correction, the foreign credit, the opening — while inside the fortress the regime quietly made the small internal bends that keep a metabolism alive: the courtyard plot tolerated for decades before the final squeeze, the cooperative's kitchen never replacing the family's, the plan revised when the harvest argued. Pol Pot inherited the doctrine and executed its full text upon the metabolism itself; Hoxha inherited the same doctrine and executed its full text upon the borders — sealing the country rather than gutting it. The two violences of the project's second inquiry sort the two cases cleanly: in Cambodia, the structural kill dwarfed even an industrialised visible one; in Albania, the metabolic kill never came, because the metabolism was never abolished, and the dying stayed at the scale of the visible — the executions, the camps, the prison-mines — terrible, and countable in thousands rather than millions. The volume states the comparative finding plainly, for it will anchor Part VIII: what an unbent doctrine costs depends on where the refusal lands. Refused at the metabolism, it kills a quarter of a nation in four years. Refused at the border, it cages a nation for forty. Enver Hoxha built the second thing — a siege, with himself as its keeper and its prisoner alike — and the next part counts what the keeping cost the people inside.

PART VII

The Machine of Vigilance

How the Sigurimi, the file, and the biography made kin liability into a caste system and reached the child before the child could speak; what the camps, the prison-mines, and the executioners' tallies actually number; how each broken patron was paid for with the lieutenants who had served him, in a litany the regime itself recited; and how the machine's last and greatest meal — the prime minister of twenty-seven years, dead in the night and declared a six-fold foreign agent — exposed the doctrine's signature one final time — 1944–1985

I. The File Before the Child

The machine that kept the fortress must be described from its quietest component outward, because the quiet component is the one the framework cares most about. The Sigurimi — the Directorate of State Security, built on Yugoslav lines, rebuilt on Soviet ones, and retained at a density Albanians still argue about — was the visible instrument: the watchers, the informers, the listeners on the wires the regime itself had strung. But the load-bearing instrument was paper: the biografi, the personal and family biography, compiled on every Albanian and consulted at every gate of life. A grandfather shot in the tribunals of 1945, an uncle fled to Greece, a Ballist cousin, a kulak designation, a priest in the lineage — any stain in the file barred the schools, the party, the professions, the city residence permits, and the army's better branches, not for the bearer alone but for his children and his children's children. The volume asks the reader to see what this is in the framework's terms, because it is the purest specimen in the collection: the formation dial, administered as a caste system. The opening of this volume observed that a hierarchy's deepest advantage is reaching the child first; the biografi literalised the advantage — the state had filed the child before the child could speak, and the file, not the child's conduct, set the horizon of the life. It was kin liability as constitution: the old Kanun's logic of the answerable household, captured and inverted by the state that claimed to have abolished it. And it had the property the framework predicts for every closed sorting system: it manufactured, in each generation, a hereditary class of the excluded — the bad-biography families, some tens of thousands of people — who owed the regime nothing, were owed nothing by it, and were held in place by surveillance alone; the volume will meet their grandchildren in 1990, first over the embassy walls.

For those the file convicted, the geography was ready. The regime ran, across its tenure, twenty-three prisons and some four dozen camps and internment sites; the internment system — administrative exile, no trial required — held whole families for decades in villages of the malarial plain and the bare hills, the wives and infants of the condemned interned with them, children born and raised in internment; and the prison-labour system fed the mines, above all Spaç, the copper-and-pyrite camp opened in 1968 in a closed northern valley, where political prisoners were worked underground on quotas, and where in May 1973 the one prisoner rising of the regime's history held the camp for two days under a free flag before it was crushed and its leaders shot. The numbers, as the post-communist institutes have established them, are these — and the volume sets them down with the care it gave the Cambodian demography, because the method's authority is its arithmetic: roughly 6,000 executed by sentence — the institute's count runs to 5,577 men and 450 women — with the bodies, as policy, never returned to the families; political prisoners across the era in the range of thirty thousand; the administratively interned counted from twenty thousand upward, with estimates running toward sixty; out of a population that rose across the period

from one to three million. The volume marks the scale honestly in both directions, as Part VI promised: held against the collection's giants, the absolute numbers are small — this regime killed thousands where its teachers killed millions, the consequence, the framework has argued, of a metabolism never abolished; and held against the population, the machine touched, between the files, the camps, and the kin, a share of the nation large enough that the volume can write the sentence plainly — in Hoxha's Albania, persecution was not something that happened to a margin of society; counting the biografi's hereditary reach, it was a standing condition of it.

❧

II. The Litany of the Patrons

Who the machine ate at the top, the regime itself tabulated, and the volume needs only to quote the structure of its own litany. In the official telling, repeated in the leader's speeches and memoirs, the party had been beset in every era by traitors in its summit: the Yugoslav agents of Koçi Xoxe, unmasked in 1949; the Khrushchevite agents Liri Belishova and Koço Tashko, unmasked in 1960; the pro-Chinese putschists of 1974–75 — the defence minister Beqir Balluku, the chief of staff Petrit Dume, the political director Hito Çako, shot in 1975, and beside them the economic leadership, the planning chief Abdyl Këllezi and the industry minister Koço Theodhosi, destroyed as saboteurs when the economy they administered faltered; and at last the agent of everyone at once, whom this part's final section will name. The volume sets the litany beside the patron table of Parts IV through VI and lets the correlation state itself: every break with a patron was paid for with the lieutenants who had executed the previous line — the men whose positions, contacts, or competences tied them to the order just renounced — and every domestic failure was paid for with the administrators nearest it. The reader of the Cambodian volume knows the mechanism by name now: a revealed doctrine cannot be wrong, so when the line turns or the plan fails, the explanation must be treason, and the treason must be found among precisely those who served the old line or ran the failed plan — which is to say, the purge is not the doctrine malfunctioning but the doctrine's accounting working as designed. Two features distinguish the Albanian executions of it. First, scale and tempo: this was not Cambodia's industrial confession-machine nor Stalin's mass terror but a chamber instrument — a few hundred at the summit across four decades, tried in the party's closed sessions, the circle of the safe kept always small enough to watch from one desk. Second, the survivor: in every other case in this collection the founder shares or loses the stage; here, one man conducted the entire litany from the same chair for forty-two years, never himself the patron's man because he had been every patron's man and had named the patron's men first. The volume observes, ahead of Part VIII, that this is precisely the record a perfect believer would leave, and precisely the record a perfect survivor would leave, and that the file contains no entry that distinguishes them.

❧

III. The Last Meal

The machine's final act is the case's signature, and the volume gives it the room it gave S-21, because it performs the same demonstration at the scale of two men. Mehmet Shehu had been beside Hoxha since the mountains: the partisan army's best field commander, then interior minister through the Stalin years, then prime minister from 1954 — twenty-seven years in the second chair, the hard hand of every era, the regime's soldier as Hoxha was its priest, and, as Hoxha aged and his health failed through the 1970s, the presumptive successor. In the night of 17–18 December 1981, in his villa in the Block a few metres from Hoxha's own, Shehu died of a bullet. The radio announced a suicide in a moment of nervous crisis — the regime's phrase — and buried him, within days, as an enemy: in waste ground outside a village, without honours, his wife and sons arrested, the wife to die in prison. Within a year Hoxha had supplied the doctrine's accounting, in its purest recorded form: Shehu, the nation was instructed, had been for forty years a multiple agent — of the Yugoslavs, the Soviets, the Americans, the British, the Italian fascist service, and the Gestapo — six masters, several of them mutual enemies, served simultaneously across the man's entire adult life; and the unmasking's proximate occasion, the regime explained, was the betrothal

of Shehu's son into a family with bad biography — the kin-liability machine of this part's first section, reaching at last into the second villa of the state. The purge wave that followed took the interior minister, the defence minister, the foreign minister, the health minister who had attended the death — the security summit consumed by the security doctrine, one final cycle. Whether Shehu shot himself or was shot remains, in the strict sense, unresolved; the volume carries both readings as it carried Tou Samouth's, and notes that the structure does not need the bullet's provenance. What the structure needs is the accounting that followed, for it is the revealed doctrine's tell in laboratory isolation: confronted with the fall of the second man of the regime — whatever its true cause: succession struggle, policy quarrel over the post-China isolation, the dictator's arithmetic of age — the system could not say any of the true things, because every true thing implicated the doctrine or its keeper; and so it said the thing the categories supplied, though the thing was absurd on its face and was greeted, even inside the sealed country, with the silence of the unbelieved. A doctrine with an excuse for everything had been made to use its excuse on its own prime minister, and the excuse had come out six-headed. The volume closes the part there, with the machine intact, the litany complete, the old man writing his memoirs behind the Block's guards — and the question that has been accumulating since Part II now unavoidable.

PART VIII

The Undecidable Question

Was he a believer or a manipulator? The case for each, made at full strength; why the doctrine's own architecture makes the two hypotheses produce identical conduct — the excuse built in, the commitment trap closing from below, the motives plural and co-resident; why the question cannot be answered from the record and would change nothing if it could; and what can be known instead: what the structure required, what it rewarded, and what it cost — 1944–1985

I. The Two Briefs

The volume has promised this part since its second, and begins it by doing what the method requires: stating each hypothesis in its strongest form, with its best evidence, as its honest advocates would.

The brief for the believer. No ruler in this collection paid more for orthodoxy, more often, with less compulsion. Three times Hoxha broke with a patron whose continued favour required only silence — and each break cost his state the subsidies it lived on: the Yugoslav integration of 1948, the Soviet credits and wheat of 1961, the Chinese kits of 1978; a cynic maximising comfort and security takes Khrushchev's money and mouths the coexistence line as every other small-state leader in the bloc did, and Hoxha, alone, three times, chose poverty with purity. He wrote — not ghosted but wrote, in a flow of polemics and memoirs running past seventy volumes, sustained into his final illness — a defence of Stalin maintained for thirty years after Stalin's heirs had buried him, when no power on earth required it; the devotional memoir of his five audiences was published in 1979, a generation after its subject's disgrace everywhere else, an act with no audience but the faith. The atheist state itself is believer's evidence: no calculus of personal power required the abolition of God in 1967 — the churches were long broken as rivals — and the campaign spent real legitimacy among the formed; only a man who held the doctrine's account of religion as truth, the brief runs, buys that fight. And he died in the faith, in power, unbent, his last writings as doctrinally sealed as his first.

The brief for the manipulator. Read the same record by the other light and every doctrinal act is a survival act wearing the doctrine's coat. Each break tracked, to the month, a threat to his personal position: 1948 saved him from Xoxe and the absorption that would have retired him; 1956–61 saved him from de-Stalinisation, which in every neighbouring party retired or shot the local Stalin — the Tirana conference of April 1956 had shown him his own apparatus testing the wind, and he closed the wind off; 1978 saved him from the Chinese opening, whose Albanian analogue would have empowered exactly the pragmatists he then purged. The purges themselves follow the manipulator's grammar perfectly: each consumed whoever held an independent base — the security chief, the economists, the soldiers, at last the designated successor — always just before such a base could matter, always under charges whose absurdity (the six-fold agent) advertises their function. The man who preached scarcity lived in the Block with French novels and Western medicine; the man who preached vigilance slept three hundred guarded metres from the prime minister he outlived; the seventy volumes, the brief notes dryly, are the cult's masonry, not the soul's diary. And the supreme cunning, on this reading, was the choice of doctrine itself: a creed with an excuse for everything is the perfect instrument of a man who intends everything, and Hoxha's unwavering grip — forty-two years, dead in his bed, the only founder in this collection never even seriously challenged — is the manipulator's vindication: belief is for the believed-at; the operator keeps the church and skips the faith.

❧

II. Why the Question Cannot Be Answered

Both briefs are strong; the volume's finding is that they are strong for the same reason, and that the reason is the answer. Consider what evidence could, in principle, separate them. The believer hypothesis predicts: doctrinally consistent conduct, costly orthodoxy, purges of deviationists, vigilance against encirclement, autarky, the war on rival formations. The manipulator hypothesis predicts: conduct that protects the ruler's position, elimination of potential rivals, manufactured emergencies, monopoly of formation, isolation of the population from comparison. Now lay the predictions side by side and observe — this is the part's central exhibit — that in a state built on this doctrine they are the same list. The doctrine defines threats to the revolution in terms that always include threats to its keeper; it defines the keeper's rivals as the revolution's deviationists; it reads the failure of its policies as the sabotage of its enemies; it prescribes, against encirclement, exactly the isolation that also denies the population any standard of comparison; it demands, against rival formations, exactly the monopoly that also secures the ruler against any alternative loyalty. This is not a coincidence the analyst stumbles on; it is the architecture the user of such a doctrine inherits: a revealed doctrine, as this collection has defined it from the first volume, is precisely a system in which no outcome can count against the doctrine — and a system in which no outcome counts against the doctrine is also a system in which no act of its keeper can be distinguished from faith. The excuse is built in. Hence the observational equivalence the two briefs displayed: the believer and the manipulator, placed in Hoxha's chair, sign the same decrees, purge the same colleagues, pour the same bunkers — and leave the same archive. The question is undecidable not because the record is thin but because the doctrine was engineered, by a century of inheritance this collection has traced, so that fidelity and self-service converge; undecidability is not our ignorance; it is the instrument's design.

Three further considerations close the trap, and the volume states them in ascending order of importance. First, motives are plural and co-resident: the question's either/or is itself the error, for a man can intend the communist future and his own permanence in the same act and need never rank them — the triple coincidences this volume has counted at every hinge (1948, 1956, 1978: the doctrinal, the national, and the personal motive pointing one way) are not evidence of cynicism but the normal condition of a position in which the doctrine has been arranged around the man; where all of a man's reasons agree, asking which was the real one is a question about a ranking that never had to exist. Second, the commitment trap ran upward from below: by the 1960s the apparatus, the army of the formed, the cadre generation raised entirely inside the doctrine, expected the performances — the purity was the regime's legitimating story and the apparatus's livelihood, and a Hoxha who softened was a Hoxha who handed every subordinate the orthodox grounds to replace him; the keeper of such a system is also its hostage, performing the doctrine for an audience the doctrine created, in a cage he built whose door he dare not test. And third — the consideration the framework regards as decisive — the formation operated inside him. The question believer or manipulator pictures a sovereign self behind the conduct, coolly choosing sincerity or performance; but the second proposition of this whole collection is that there is no such self standing free of formation — the doctrine had been installed in a twenty-five-year-old by 1934 and rewarded daily for fifty years, and a formation in that condition does not present itself to its bearer as an option; it presents the purge as vigilance, the rival as traitor, the luxury as the apparatus's due, with the sincerity supplied on demand, in the act itself. He did not need to know which he was. Neither do we — and the volume means the symmetry exactly.

❧

III. What Can Be Known Instead

For the question's undecidability is not the analysis failing; it is the analysis succeeding — it tells us we were asking about the wrong object. The project's method has held, since the foundational volume, that it analyses structure, not character: that motives are genuinely double and finally unknowable, and that conclusions must rest

on what the structure required, rewarded, and cost. Apply the rule, and everything in this case that matters becomes knowable. What the structure required is documented in eight parts: a doctrine with an excuse for everything, installed at the apex of a totally foreclosed order, required the breaks, the purges, the monopoly of formation, the siege — required them in the precise sense that any occupant of the chair who declined them was supplying his own replacement's warrant, and that the system never once, in forty years, generated a reason to decline. What it rewarded is documented likewise: the chair itself, held longer than any in the collection. And what it cost is Part VI's and Part VII's arithmetic: the six thousand shot, the camps and the files and the hereditary castes, the forty-year cage of two and then three million people — costs billed entirely downward, for the keeper of the siege never missed a meal of it. Whether the keeper believed changes no entry in any of these ledgers: the dead are equally dead under either hypothesis, the cage equally locked, the children equally formed. The volume therefore renders the only verdict the evidence supports and the only one the method wants: Enver Hoxha was the perfectly adapted occupant of a position that a revealed doctrine and a total foreclosure built around him — a position in which belief and ambition had been engineered into indistinguishability — and the warning of his case is not about him. It is about the position. Build a chair in which sincerity and self-service sign the same orders, and it will not matter whom you seat in it; the search for the man's heart is the search for a difference that the structure was designed to erase. The reader who still wants the heart may keep wanting it — the volume confesses the want too — but should notice what the want is: the comfort of monsters in its subtler form, the hope that the catastrophe traces to a hidden personal falsity rather than to an architecture that makes falsity and faith converge. The architecture is reproducible. That is the finding, and it is worth more than the heart.

PART IX

The Collapse and What Came Back

How the fortress survived its keeper by six years and then dissolved without a battle — over embassy walls, across the Adriatic in rusting ships, and in a square where the statue came down; how a population formed without markets met the pyramid schemes, and a state formed without channels met its own files; what returned through the reopened doors, what did not, and what that settles about the war on the coat; the strongest objections to this volume's account, held and answered; and the verdict on the siege he built himself — 1985–2026

I. The Dissolution

Enver Hoxha died on 11 April 1985, in his bed, in power, doctrine unbent — the only founder in this collection to manage all three — and the siege survived him as machines survive their makers: by momentum. His chosen successor, Ramiz Alia, a man of the apparatus's softer generation, kept the forms while the substance drained: the post-China autarky ground the shelves emptier each year, the bad-biography generations came of age owing the regime nothing, and beyond the sealed border the entire architecture of the regime's world — the bloc, the doctrine, the patrons and ex-patrons alike — collapsed between 1989 and 1991 on the television signals Albanians had long since learnt to catch from Italy across the strait. The end, when it came, was the framework's foreclosure mechanism running in reverse, and the volume narrates it as such. The pressure that forty-five years of total closure had stored did not move through channels, for there were none; it moved through walls: in July 1990 thousands of Tirana's young — disproportionately, the records show, the file-condemned, the bad-biography children with nothing to lose — stormed the foreign embassies and were shipped abroad as the price of calm; in December 1990 the students of the university the regime had built marched, and Alia, reading the Romanian December of the year before with perfect position logic, conceded pluralism rather than test the army; in February 1991 the crowd in the renamed square pulled down the great bronze Hoxha with cables, the regime's central image ending exactly as the framework's coda predicts for orders that store rather than channel; and through 1991 the population voted with the sea — the rusting freighters out of Durrës and Vlorë, tens of thousands clinging to the decks and rigging for Italy, the most literal exit any case in this collection records: a nation formed for forty years to man the bunkers against the outside, swimming toward it. The party lost power across the elections of 1991–92; the first post-communist president was elected; and the fortress state of Enver Hoxha passed, without a siege, without a battle, almost without a shot, out of existence — leaving behind its files, its camps, its bunkers, and its formed.

What the formed did next is the era's structural lesson, and the volume gives it the paragraph the suffering deserves. In 1996–97 the new Albania poured its savings — by the careful estimates, a sum on the order of half the country's output, subscribed by a majority of its households — into pyramid investment schemes, and when the pyramids collapsed in early 1997 the state collapsed with them: armouries were stormed, a million weapons walked, the south fell to armed committees, some two thousand people died in the months of anarchy, and order returned only behind an Italian-led international force. The commentary of the era reached for Balkan temperament; the framework reads the catastrophe as formation, exactly. A population schooled for two generations inside a sealed, moneyless-margined, single-buyer economy — a population from which the regime

had amputated every institution that teaches market judgment: the price, the bank, the contract, the loss — met the rawest predators of the open economy in its first unguarded years, and met them with no antibodies at all; the pyramid collapse was the atheist state's economic twin, the void where a formation should have been. The siege, the volume observes, billed its prisoners one last time, six years after the keeper's death: the cage had not only confined what was inside; it had unfitted the confined for the world outside, and the unfitting was the final entry in the cost column.

❧

II. What Came Back Through the Doors

And the formation? The volume has carried the question since Vasa's line in Part I and the war on the coat in Part V, and the reopened doors of 1990 ran the experiment to its answer. Religion returned at once in form: the December 1990 concessions legalised faith after twenty-three years of absolute prohibition; the first public masses and prayers drew crowds and tears; the mosques and churches were rebuilt through the 1990s, very largely with foreign money — Gulf funds for the mosques, Greek and Vatican channels for the churches — the Bektashi world headquarters reconvened in Tirana; a pope visited in 1993, and a generation later the Albanian state would even announce — the plan stood announced but unconsummated at this writing — a sovereign Vatican-style microstate for the Bektashi order in the capital, the once-atheist republic proposing to host the world's smallest religious state. The forms returned entire. The content is the finding: every survey of the decades since places Albania among the least religious societies in Europe — belief thin, observance thinner, the confessions cohabiting without heat, faith for great festivals and family rites — and the volume reads the result against the collection's standing proposition with the discipline of its own coda, for here is the place the project's framework meets a test it could fail. The Chinese and Cambodian volumes established that belief is formation, not trick: that a faith installed at the hearth survives the destruction of its institutions and refills the temples when the doors reopen. Albania's temples reopened and did not refill. Is the proposition broken? The volume answers: refined, and refined exactly as the evidence instructs. What survives institutional destruction is the deep formation — the layer installed first and confirmed daily — and in Albania, as Vasa wrote a century before Hoxha proved it, the deep layer was the nation, not the faith; the regime destroyed the coat and fed the body, and what came back through the doors in 1990 was what had been deep all along: Albanianism — promptly visible in the era's actual passions, the Kosovo war of 1999 across the border, the 2008 independence celebrated in two capitals, the flag's eagle everywhere the cross and crescent are not. And the regime's own contribution must be entered with the framework's honesty: the atheist state held the children for a generation — the only state in history with a legal monopoly on formation — and the cohorts it formed raised the cohorts after; Albanian secularity today is partly the old thinness Vasa named and partly the formation the monopoly installed, the two compounding, indistinguishable in the survey data, exactly as the framework expects formations to compound. The war on the coat, the volume concludes, was the rare formation-war its maker substantially won — and the victory proves the proposition it seemed to threaten: formation is what persists, and what persisted was the layer the regime never attacked.

The state's own reckoning with the era completes the inventory, briefly, for it is the thinnest in the collection. No tribunal sat; a handful of geriatric trials in the early 1990s — Alia, the widow Hoxha, some ministers — produced short sentences and early releases; lustration was legislated late, weakly, and politically; the files were opened to their subjects only a generation on, the authority over them quarrelled about ever since; compensation for the executed and the interned has been partial, slow, and grudging, the interned long excluded from it altogether. The dictator's pyramid-shaped museum in central Tirana, designed by his architect daughter and opened three years after his death, stood derelict for decades as the city argued over demolition and became, in the 2020s, a terraced youth technology school — the cult's mausoleum repurposed, the volume notes, as a classroom: the new order, too, knows where formation lives. And the bunkers squat where they were poured, sprouting from beaches and vineyards, some made bars and museums, most simply ignored — the siege's concrete outliving the siege, the doctrine's most permanent text, and its least read.

❧

III. The Counter-Positions, Held

The volume now holds the strongest cases against its own account, as the method requires of every study. The first is the chieftain objection: that this was never really a case of doctrine at all — that Hoxha was Zog with a vocabulary, a Balkan strongman running the landscape's eternal politics of clan, vendetta, and patronage in a red coat, and that the volume's doctrinal apparatus dignifies what was merely the Kanun with a politburo. The objection holds real ground and the volume concedes it: the regime ran on southern networks and wartime loyalties; the kin-liability of the biografi is the answerable household of the old code, captured; the purges have the rhythm of the feud. But the concession has a limit the record enforces: no chieftain logic produces the atheist state, the collectivisation of the highlands, the constitutional ban on credit, or the breaks with three paying patrons — a chieftain takes the subsidy and keeps his counsel; these acts are legible only as the doctrine's, and the case, like Cambodia's fusion of doctrine and nation, required both parents: the Kanun's grammar and Lenin's content, the deep landscape and the third formation, neither sufficient alone. The second is the contingency objection: no Italian invasion, no Yugoslav emissaries, no world war — no Hoxha; the schoolteacher dies obscure. Conceded entirely, as it was conceded for Pol Pot: the framework explains conduct in power, not the lottery of power's arrival; and as in the Cambodian case the objection cuts both ways, for the war that made him was available to every Albanian faction, and it was the doctrine-bearing one — organised, patronised, formed — that converted it. The third is the scale objection, the inverse of Cambodia's: thousands dead, not millions — does the case belong in this collection at all? The volume's answer is Part VI's finding made explicit: the case belongs because of its restraint, not despite it — Albania is the experiment that isolates the variables, the unbent doctrine and the total foreclosure run for forty years with the metabolism left intact, showing what the doctrine costs when it does not kill: the cage, the caste of the files, the formation monopoly, the generation unfitted for the world — the full price of foreclosure, paid in decades rather than corpses, and therefore the collection's cleanest measurement of foreclosure's price as such. And the fourth is the standing comfort, refused here as in every volume: that the case needs no structure because the man was simply a paranoid monster. Eight parts have answered: the paranoia had real mines, real gold in London, real parachutists; the monster was the era's most conventionally formed product — lycée, Paris, apparatus; and the warning is precisely that nothing in the inputs was rare.

❧

IV. The Verdict

The verdict, then, on the siege he built himself. The case contributes three findings to the collection, and the volume states them in the order of their reach. First, on formation, the subject this volume was built to carry: formations are layered; the layer installed first arrives as the world itself, which is why every order in this collection has fought for the child, and why this regime alone made the fight total — abolishing the rival installers outright and holding a legal monopoly on the making of minds for a generation; layers are dissolved by contradiction and collision, but selectively — the lightly-installed coat goes, the deep layer is at most re-clothed, and the adult-installed doctrine persists exactly as long as the bearer's position keeps paying it; and what returns when a tyranny's doors reopen is not whatever was banned, but whatever was deep. Second, on the unbent doctrine: Cambodia and Albania now stand in the collection as the two ends of one experiment — the same inheritance, refused all bends, landing in one case on the metabolism and in the other on the border, killing a quarter of a nation in four years there, caging a whole nation for forty here; the refusal's price is set by where the refusal lands, and the framework's first proposition — the metabolism is load-bearing — is confirmed from both directions, by the regime that tore it out and by the regime that survived precisely because it did not. And third, on the question that gives Part VIII its name: a doctrine with an excuse for everything, seated in a chair without counterweights, erases the difference between its believer and its user — by design, not accident — and the

analyst's task before such a chair is not to read the heart of its occupant but to count what the chair required, rewarded, and cost; the volume has counted, and the chair, not the heart, is the reproducible part. The framework's coda asks of every claim whether it is tested or revealed, and the volume turns the blade on itself as it must: everything argued here is offered as tested — defeasible by the files now opening, the institutes still counting, the survey data still accumulating — and the reader who breaks it on the record does the project's work.

Last, the landscape, which outlasted everything, as it always does. The eagle still flies over the square where the bronze came down; the hero of 1443 still rides in its centre, having outlived his latest claimant as he outlived all the others; the faiths cohabit lightly, as the poet said they did; and the nation Hoxha schooled, wired, caged, and formed queues now at a different door — the European one, its government re-elected in 2025 on the promise of accession by 2030, sixteen chapters open, the anniversary shirts printed — pressing to dissolve, into the largest plural order on earth, the most foreclosed state Europe ever produced. The volume permits itself no prophecy, only the dials, and the dials are mixed as they are everywhere: the channels are open and used hard, the courts and the screens contested, one party dominant for a decade and counting, the old habit of the single patron now courting a new and gentler one — and the children, for the first time in the nation's history, formed by many installers at once, quarrelling, plural, unmonopolised. That last setting is the one this volume was written about, and the one the framework would watch. Everything in these nine parts followed from who reached the children first, and what reality later did to what they were handed. In Albania, today, no one reaches them first. The framework counts that — tested, not revealed — as the likeliest mercy in the whole story.